Examiner-Initiated Interview Summa		Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	2021	09/485,298	YAMAMOTO		AL.
	iiai y	Examiner		Art Unit	
		Young J. Kim		1637	
All Participants:		Status of Application	on: <u>Afte</u>	<u>r-Final</u>	
(1) <u>Young J. Kim</u> .		(3)			
(2) <u>Thomas Siepmann</u> .		(4)			
Date of Interview:		Time: <u>8:55 a.m.</u>			
	☐ Applica ] No	ant's representative)			
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a					
Claims discussed:	, 23				
Prior art documents discussed:  Gelfand et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,693,517; IDS referen	nce AA)				
Part II.					
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TO See Continuation Sheet	HE GENEI	RAL NATURE OF WHA	T WAS I	DISCUSSED:	
Part III.					
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a directly resulted in the allowance of the applicant the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief</li> </ul>	cation. The separate r	e examiner will provide ecord of the substance	a written of the in	n summary of the nterview, since	ne substance
YOUNG J. KIM PATENT EXAMINER					
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	(Applicant	'Applicant's Representa	ative Sigr	nature – if appro	opriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Siepmann was advised that the reference(s) cited in the IDS received on November 23, 2005, said IDS received after a Final Rejection, said IDS filed with a statement under 1.97(e) and fee under 1.17(p), necessitates a new ground of rejection. Since the IDS was filed with a statement under 1.97(e), Mr. Siepmann was advised that the Office Action would be made Non-Final.

YOUNG J. KIM
PATENT EXAMINER